



# ROAD CONDITION REPORT FOR BRANCH COUNTY

2015

Prepared by the Kalamazoo Area Transportation Study | (269) 343-0766 | [info@KATSmpo.org](mailto:info@KATSmpo.org) | [www.KATSmpo.org](http://www.KATSmpo.org)

# ROAD CONDITION REPORT FOR BRANCH COUNTY

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### Contents

What is Asset Management?	4
PASER Rating Scale	6
Summary of 2014 and 2015 Ratings	10
Historical Data Collection	14
Pavement Conditions	24
Contact Information	28

### Tables

Table 1: PASER Rating Scale	7
Table 2: Treatment Options	8

### PASER Maps

Branch County	26
City of Coldwater	27

# ROAD CONDITION REPORT FOR BRANCH COUNTY

## Executive Summary

The Kalamazoo Area Transportation Study assisted in the data collection of road inventory for Branch County in 2014 and 2015. The data collection efforts took place on Federal-Aid roads in the county. Since 2011 the Transportation Asset Management Council PASER data collection has changed what constitutes a “federal-aid eligible” road. This change excludes some Rural Minor Collectors that were rated during previous years.

According to 23 USC 101, “Federal-aid eligible” roads are “highways on the Federal-aid highway systems and all other public roads not classified as local roads or rural minor collectors.”

Within Branch County, there are:

- **438 miles of Federal-aid roads.** This includes roads that are maintained by the Michigan Department of Transportation, the Branch County Road Commission, and the cities and villages within the county. Of the 436 Federal-Aid miles in Branch County, there are:

- 283 miles of Major Collectors**

- 74 miles of Minor Arterials**

- 31 miles of Other Principal Arterials** (defined by National Functional Classification)

- **103 miles of Trunkline roadways.** These roadways are maintained by the Michigan Department of Transportation.

# ROAD CONDITION REPORT FOR BRANCH COUNTY

## What is Asset Management?

“An ongoing process of maintaining, upgrading, and operating physical assets cost effectively, based on a continuous physical inventory and condition assessment.”  
- Act 499 of the Public Acts of 2002.

The State of Michigan defines asset management as “an ongoing process of maintaining, upgrading, and operating physical assets cost effectively, based on a continuous physical inventory and condition assessment.” Asset management consists of a set of business principles and practices used to meet the goals of good ownership and effective, responsible management. The process allows transportation agencies to monitor the current condition of all federal aid eligible pavements, while also taking an inventory of potential preventative measures, to ensure the quality of the roads in the future. Implementation of asset management principles requires a shift from “Worst First” system management to one that considers the long range view of how the system functions.

### Principles of Asset Management

Asset management follows five core principles. They are:

- **Performance-Based**-Allows policy objectives to be broken down into daily operations decisions and strategic maintenance decisions.
- **Decisions Based on Quality Information**-Accurate information regarding the inventory, condition, and available funding of any of the assets involved.
- **Policy-Driven**-Resource allocation decisions that are based on well-defined performance goals and objectives. Alternatives are examined, and often level of service, system conditions, and community goals are reflected.
- **Analysis of Mix of Fixes, Options and Tradeoffs**-A system-wide assessment is made to determine the most valuable alternatives to invest in current and future system performance.
- **Monitoring to Provide Clear Accountability and Feedback**-The system needs to be consistently monitored to ensure that the chosen investments are meeting the predetermined goals and policy objectives.

All agencies currently apply some form of these principles, and for that reason, existing principles can be easily built upon in order to implement a successful asset management plan.



# ROAD CONDITION REPORT FOR BRANCH COUNTY

## **Benefits of Asset Management**

Asset management provides public agencies with a better understanding of the relationship between cost and performance. This understanding allows for better management, which is often directly reflected in the improvement of performance. In addition to the overall improvement of an agency's performance, there are many benefits of implementing asset management principles and practices. These benefits include:

- Improved service to customers;
- Improved cost-effectiveness and use of available resources;
- Improved communication with elected officials and the public about level of service vs. cost of service; and
- Improved credibility and accountability for decision-making process and results.

In order to gain these benefits, an agency must evaluate its current business practices, establish where significant improvements can be made, and develop a plan to institute changes.

# ROAD CONDITION REPORT FOR BRANCH COUNTY

## PASER Rating Scale

PASER (Pavement Surface Evaluation and Rating) is a simple “windshield” survey of road surface quality, which was developed by the University of Wisconsin-Madison to be used as the state’s standard road rating system. The system uses manuals that provide visual aids for identifying different types, and the extent of, various defects that may be visually present in any given section of road. These defects are compared to a ten point PASER scale to determine their quality. On the PASER rating scale, one represents a failed road, and ten, a new road. The time that it takes a road to cycle from excellent to poor on the PASER scale is largely dependent on traffic volume and construction quality.

Using the PASER rating scale on paved surfaces within a county aids in predicting deterioration rates of surfaces. This information is important in order to create a plan of maintenance and replacement that is both efficient and cost effective.

### *PASER Categories*

When surveying a paved surface for defects, there are four main categories to keep in mind. These categories are:

- **Surface Defects-** These include raveling (minimal aggregate on pavement surface), flushing (excess aggregate on pavement surface), or polishing (worn down aggregate on pavement surface)
- **Surface Deformation-** Includes rutting of wheel paths and pavement distortion
- **Cracks-** Can be transverse, longitudinal, reflection, slippage, alligator, or block cracks
- **Patches and Potholes-** Patches are when previous damage has been filled by new asphalt patch material, and potholes are surface damage caused by traffic, fatigue, and poor drainage.

### *How Data is Collected*

Data is collected by three person teams that consist of one MDOT employee, one member of the local road agency, and one member from the regional planning agency. Together, this team is responsible for evaluating pavement and recording information about each road, using a laptop and a GPS receiver. This information includes the type of road (surface type), the number of lanes, and the road condition (PASER Rating).

### *Treatments*

Applying a rating system like PASER to a paved network of roads allows for an efficient way to inventory and evaluate transportation assets. These evaluations can then be used to create a prioritized arrangement of projects, and select from any of the treatment alternatives. Effective management of pavement keeps the condition of the road ahead of rapid deterioration with treatments that are lower cost.

There are a number of treatment options that directly correlate to the PASER score of a paved surface. The better the road is rated, the less treatment it requires. For example, roads with a PASER rating 8-10 only require routine maintenance through scheduled activities like sweeping, drainage clearing, shoulder clearing/grading, and crack seal/slurry coat to prevent water infiltration. 5-7 rated roads require capital preventative maintenance. If a road is rated 1-4 on the PASER scale, then it requires some form of structural improvement. If the roadway deteriorates past a 4 on the PASER scale, capital preventative maintenance methods of treatment are not viable.

# ROAD CONDITION REPORT FOR BRANCH COUNTY

The following table illustrates PASER ratings for asphalt pavements, which constitute the majority of roads in Branch County.

Table 1

Rating	Visible Distress	General Treatment & Conditions
<b>10</b> Good	None	New Construction
<b>9</b> Good	None	Recent Overlay
<b>8</b> Good	No longitudinal cracks except reflection of paving joints. Occasional transverse cracks, widely spaced (40' or greater). All cracks sealed or tight (open less than 1/4").	Recent sealcoat or new cold mix. Little or no maintenance required.
<b>7</b> Fair	Very slight or no raveling, surface shows some traffic wear. Longitudinal cracks (open 1/4") due to reflection or paving joints. Transverse cracks (open 1/4") spaced 10' or more apart, little or slight crack raveling. No patching or very few patches in excellent condition.	First signs of aging. Maintain with routine crack filling.
<b>6</b> Fair	Slight raveling (loss of fines) and traffic wear. Longitudinal cracks (open 1/4"- 1/2"), some spaced less than 10'. First sign of block cracking. Sight to moderate flushing or polishing. Occasional patching in good condition.	Shows signs of aging. Sound structural condition. Could extend life with sealcoat.
<b>5</b> Fair	Moderate to severe raveling (loss of fine and coarse aggregate). Longitudinal and transverse cracks (open 1/2") show first signs of slight raveling and secondary cracks. First signs of longitudinal cracks near pavement edge. Block cracking up to 50% of surface. Extensive to severe flushing or polishing. Some patching or edge wedging in good condition.	Surface aging. Sound structural condition. Needs sealcoat or thin non-structural overlay (less than 2")
<b>4</b> Poor	Severe surface raveling. Multiple longitudinal and transverse cracking with slight raveling. Longitudinal cracking in wheel path. Block cracking (over 50% of surface). Patching in fair condition. Slight rutting or distortions (1/2" deep or less)	Significant aging and first signs of need for strengthening. Would benefit from a structural overlay (2" or more).
<b>3</b> Poor	Closely spaced longitudinal and transverse cracks often showing raveling and crack erosion. Severe block cracking. Some alligator cracking (less than 25% of surface). Patches in fair to poor condition. Moderate rutting or distortion (1" or 2" deep). Occasional potholes.	Needs patching and repair prior to major overlay. Milling and removal of deterioration extends the life of overlay.
<b>2</b> Poor	Alligator cracking (over 25% of surface). Severe distortions (over 2" deep) Extensive patching in poor condition. Potholes.	Severe deterioration. Needs reconstruction with extensive base repair. Pulverization of old pavement is effective
<b>1</b> Poor	Severe distress with extensive loss of surface integrity	Failed. Needs total reconstruction.

# ROAD CONDITION REPORT FOR BRANCH COUNTY

Table 2

Treatment	Life Extension (Average Years)	PASER Rating	Cost per Mile	Average Cost per Additional Year
Hot Mix Asphalt Crack Treatment	2	6 to 8	\$10,000	\$5,000
Overband Crack Filling	4	6 to 7	\$7,000	\$1,750
One Course Non-Structural Overlay	7	5 to 6	\$60,000	\$8,571
Milling and One Course Non-Structural Overlay	8	4 to 5	\$92,000	\$13,000
Single Course Chip Seal	6	5 to 7	\$15,000	\$2,500
Double Course Chip Seal	7.5	5 to 7	\$25,000	\$3,333
Single Course MicroSurface	5	4 to 6	\$22,500	\$4,500
Multiple Course MicroSurface	7	4 to 6	\$41,000	\$6,000
Ultra-Thin HMA Overlay	8.5	4 to 6	\$63,000	\$7,875
Hot In-Place Recycling	15	4 to 6	\$175,000	\$11,667
Cold In-Place Recycling	20	3 to 5	\$200,000	\$10,000
Full-Depth Reconstruction	30	1 to 2	\$1,500,000	\$50,000



# ROAD CONDITION REPORT FOR BRANCH COUNTY

## *Capital Preventative Maintenance and Reconstructive Treatments*


Table 2 details the expected cost, lifespan, and rating of each treatment type when applied to roads that need maintenance. These treatments range from the minimal (hot mix asphalt crack treatment) to major reconstruction. The following list provides a brief overview of when each treatment is used. These treatments are suggested by TAMC and may not be appropriate fixes to every situation.

- Hot Mix Asphalt Crack Treatment is a standard method of crack filling. It is primarily used on cracks caused by expanding or contracting asphalt that has lost flexibility over time.
- Overband Crack Filling is used on cracks that are up to 1" wide, and are moving or unmoving.
- Non-Structural Overlays do not contribute to a pavement's structural capacity. These treatments require thin layers of asphalt (1/2- 1 1/2 inches) to be smoothed on top of existing pavement. Applying this treatment to roads improves surface quality and drainage.
- Chip Seals require a thin application of asphalt applied to the road surface, which is topped with a coarse aggregate.
- Microsurfacing is a very thin application of cold mix that uses chemical reactions to set. This creates a treatment that is not dependent on weather, and only requires a road to be closed for a short time.
- Ultra-Thin Hot Mix Asphalt Overlays are similar to microsurfacing, but require more time to set, and are more expensive than microsurfacing treatments.
- Hot In-Place Asphalt Recycling heats up existing pavement to soften it, which is then removed and mixed with an asphalt binder. The new mix is then used to repave the roadway.
- Cold In-Place Recycling does not involve the use of heat. Instead, the surface is pulverized and mixed with an asphalt emulsion and then used to repave the same road.
- Full-Depth Reconstruction is a replacement of all components of the paved surface. This includes the base and sub-base.

# ROAD CONDITION REPORT FOR BRANCH COUNTY


## Summary of 2013 and 2014 Ratings

2014/2015 PASER Road Ratings  
 Branch County **All** Federal-Aid Miles by Jurisdiction  
 (Includes Trunkline Roads)




# ROAD CONDITION REPORT FOR BRANCH COUNTY

## 2014/2015 PASER Ratings Branch County **Non-Trunkline** Federal-Aid Miles




# ROAD CONDITION REPORT FOR BRANCH COUNTY

## 2014/2015 PASER Road Ratings Branch County **Trunkline** Miles of Federal-Aid



# ROAD CONDITION REPORT FOR BRANCH COUNTY


## Branch Countywide PASER Ratings 2014-2015



# ROAD CONDITION REPORT FOR BRANCH COUNTY

## Historical Data Collection

2010-2015 PASER Road Condition Ratings  
Branch County Federal Aid Roads




The chart above reflects the progression of the ratings for Branch County’s federal-aid roads over a six year period. After a moderate increase from 2010 to 2012, there has been a steady decrease in the number of miles of poor rated roads. Correspondingly, the number of fair rated miles decreased slightly from 2010 to 2012 and have increased moderately from 2012 to 2015. Good rated roads have shown more fluctuation but have on average maintained or slightly decreased.


When looking at township breakdowns from 2014/2015 on the succeeding pages of this document, it is apparent that in most jurisdictions the majority of federal-aid and non-trunkline roads are Fair and Poor, with Good roads (rated 8-10) constituting a relatively small portion of the total. This is not the case with state trunkline roads in Branch County. More than half of the 103 trunkline miles in the county were rated as being in good condition in 2014- 2015, with Poor roads constituting less than 30% of the total.

# ROAD CONDITION REPORT FOR BRANCH COUNTY

2010-2015 PASER Road Condition Ratings  
 Alganssee Township  
 (25.602 Miles)




2010-2015 PASER Road Condition Ratings  
 Batavia Township  
 (22.334 Miles)




# ROAD CONDITION REPORT FOR BRANCH COUNTY

2010-2014 PASER Condition Ratings  
Bethel Township  
(24.829 Miles)




2010-2015 PASER Condition Ratings  
Bronson Township  
(21.708 Miles)






# ROAD CONDITION REPORT FOR BRANCH COUNTY

2010-2015 PASER Condition Ratings  
Butler Township  
(12.897 Miles)




2010-2015 PASER Condition Ratings  
California Township  
(16.104 Miles)




# ROAD CONDITION REPORT FOR BRANCH COUNTY

2010-2015 PASER Condition Ratings  
City of Coldwater  
(57.165 Miles)




2010-2015 PASER Condition Ratings  
Coldwater Township  
(49.196 Miles)




# ROAD CONDITION REPORT FOR BRANCH COUNTY

2010-2015 PASER Condition Ratings  
Gilead Township  
(14.832 Miles)




2010-2015 PASER Condition Ratings  
Girard Township  
(31.149 Miles)




# ROAD CONDITION REPORT FOR BRANCH COUNTY

2010-2015 PASER Condition Ratings  
Kinderhook Township  
(22.916 Miles)




2010-2015 PASER Condition Ratings  
Matteson Township  
(21.568 Miles)




# ROAD CONDITION REPORT FOR BRANCH COUNTY

**2010-2015 PASER Condition Ratings  
Noble Township  
(7.832 Miles)**




**2010-2015 PASER Condition Ratings  
Ovid Township  
(37.02 Miles)**




# ROAD CONDITION REPORT FOR BRANCH COUNTY

2010-2015 PASER Condition Ratings  
Quincy Township  
(32.731 Miles)




2010-2015 PASER Condition Ratings  
Sherwood Township  
(28.159 Miles)



# ROAD CONDITION REPORT FOR BRANCH COUNTY

## 2010-2015 PASER Condition Ratings Union Township (28.684 Miles)




# ROAD CONDITION REPORT FOR BRANCH COUNTY

## Pavement Conditions

Of the 438 miles of federal-aid roads that were most recently rated (2014-2015), approximately 168 are rated as being in “Poor” condition, 183 miles rated “Fair”, and 87 miles “Good.” This distribution means that currently, nearly two thirds of the federal aid roads in Branch County are in Fair or Good condition (have a PASER score of 5 - 10). The chart below illustrates the percentage distribution of road ratings.


Road Rating Distribution  
2014-2015





# ROAD CONDITION REPORT FOR BRANCH COUNTY


## Condition Trends of Federal-Aid Roads Branch County 2010-2015



The bar graph shown above illustrates the recorded history of the rated quality of roads in Branch County over the course of six years. It should be noted that due to discrepancies in total mileage reported in previous years, definitive trends cannot be shown. Generally, though the amount of roads in good condition appear to be holding steady while there seems to be a slight movement of mileage from poor to fair. This would seem to indicate that, in general, good asset management practices are being applied given available funding. If current funding levels remain unchanged, focus should continue to be placed on maintenance of roads in Fair and Good condition to minimize any increase in the number of Poor roads. Naturally, the County should also invest in replacement of the worst roads as funding permits in order to decrease the amount of Poor roads countywide so as to improve the overall state of their roads.

# ROAD CONDITION REPORT FOR BRANCH COUNTY

## Branch County Federal Aid Road Conditions 2014 - 2015



0 1.5 3 6 Miles


### PASER Road Conditions

- Poor (PASER 1 - 4)
- Fair (PASER 5 - 7)
- Good (PASER 8 - 10)



# ROAD CONDITION REPORT FOR BRANCH COUNTY

## City of Coldwater Federal Aid Road Conditions 2014 - 2015



0 0.3 0.6 1.2 Miles

### PASER Road Conditions

- Poor (PASER 1 - 4)
- Fair (PASER 5 - 7)
- Good (PASER 8 - 10)



# ROAD CONDITION REPORT FOR BRANCH COUNTY

## Contact Information

For more information regarding the Branch County Road Condition report, contact:

- **Branch County Road Commission**  
23 East Garfield Avenue • Coldwater,  
Michigan • 49036 (517) 278-2022
- **Kalamazoo Area Transportation Study**  
5220 Lovers Lane • Suite 110 • Portage,  
Michigan • 49002 (269)343-0766 •  
[info@katsmpo.org](mailto:info@katsmpo.org)