2016 Regional Prosperity Initiative Grant Application

Prosperity Region 8 – December 3, 2015 Meeting Berrien, Branch, Calhoun, Cass, Kalamazoo, St. Joseph, Van Buren Counties

Drill-Down on Each Goal Set From Volume One

- Each goal set given a two-month focus
 - asset mapping, collaborative discussion among subject matter experts, and subcommittee refinement
- Regional partners surveyed
 - Community development (247), education(210), infrastructure (117)
- Result = much greater specificity in each goal

Goals Translated Into Action + Leveraged Funding

- Robust Subcommittee Process -Priority Project Areas Developed
- RFPs for Each Goal Set
 - Proposals received & ranked
 - Two projects selected for each goal set
 - Six projects w/funding agreements in hand
- Projects between 1:1.3 & 2.8:1 leveraged investment (exception 158:1)

Adherence to 2015 Timeline

- RPI Plan V2- completed & approved by Nov.
 5, 2015
- Dashboard completed and approved by Nov. 5, 2015
- Funded RPI Projects 6 approved. Funding agmts in hands of recipients by Nov. 5, 2015
- Bylaws approved June 4, 2015
- Addition of sectors approved June 4, 2015

Open Meetings Act

- Online Accessibility for:
 - Agendas
 - Minutes
 - Meeting dates/locations
 - Meeting packets
 - Prosperity Plan VI & V2

Expanded Participation

Added II New Sectors

Formalized the Structure

- Officers elected
- Subcommittee structure built and utilized

Regional Framework for Communication

 Time dedicated for Committee to Discuss Regionally Impactful Projects

Incorporation of Region 8 Prosperity Plan Priorities

 Master Plans w/in Region 8 beginning to incorporate RPI Plan Priorities

Challenges/2016 Actions

Challenge: Refinement of Project Selection Process

- Action: Engage the process earlier in year
- Action: Broaden the project partner outreach
 - Currently 574 partners
- Action: Fund projects across 4 goal areas
- Action: Explore a single super-regional project

Challenges/2016 Actions

Challenge: Broader representation on Prosperity Committee is good, too many people in one meeting isn't.

 Action: RPI Strategies subcommittee charged to identify and develop a regional communication strategy

2016 Project Development Schedule

	Q 1	Q 2	Q 3	Q 4	Priority
Project Selection - Funding					
Community Development	X	Χ			1
Education	X	Χ			1
Infrastructure	X	Χ			1
RPI Strategies	X	Χ	Χ		1
	Q 1	Q 2	Q 3	Q 4	Priority
Linkage w/Abutting RPI	Q 1	Q 2	Q 3	Q 4	Priority
Linkage w/Abutting RPI Regions (4,7, 9)	Q 1	Q 2	Q 3	Q 4	Priority
	Q 1	Q 2	Q3	Q 4	Priority 5
Regions (4,7, 9)	Q1			Q4	

Challenges/2016 Actions

- Challenge: Tier Two Exploration what does it mean for Region 8 & how to navigate through the process.
- Action: Facilitate the process of understanding the implications and documenting the necessary steps.

2016 Tier Two Schedule

	Q 1	Q 2	Q 3	Q 4	Priority
RPI Tier Two Exploration					
Shared Services/Multiple	Y	V	X	V	2
Sectors	^	٨	٨	٨	Z

Challenges/2016 Actions

Challenge: Measuring Change-Dashboard reflects general measures of prosperity, not nuances of Prosperity Committee goals & opportunities.

 Action: Cultivate a dashboard w/external measures of prosperity + internal measures of the progress of the Prosperity Committee.

2016 Dashboard Schedule

	Q 1	Q 2	Q 3	Q 4	Priority
Measuring Change -	V	X	V	V	2
Dashboard	٨	٨	٨	٨	2

Challenges/2016 Actions

Challenge: Deepened Regional Knowledge

– elevate the discussion of issues at 7
county scale within focus areas
(community development, education, infrastructure)

- Action: SWMPC & SMPC staff develop relevant data and recruit subject matter experts to present at meetings.
- Action: Become a resource for innovation

2016 Deepened Regional Knowledge

	Q 1	Q 2	Q 3	Q 4	Priority
Deepend Regional					
Knowledge					
Recycling		X	X		2
Broadband	X	X	X		2
	Q 1	Q 2	Q 3	Q 4	Priority
Deepened Regional					
Knowledge					
Local Road Road Asset		X	X		4
		^	٨		4
Management					

Challenges/2016 Actions

Challenge: Better connectivity between/within sectors

- Action: RPI Strategies goal seeks better alignment within sectors
 - Seek to make sector alignment normative

2016 Sector Connectivity Schedule

	Q 1	Q 2	Q 3	Q 4	Priority
Links w/Region 8 Efforts					
Inventory of Regional Collaboratives		X	X	X	3
Regional Collaborative Gap Analysis			X	X	3

Needs/2016 Actions

Need: Economic Development Blueprint – required as part of Prosperity Plan.

 Action: Seek to further synchronize the Prosperity Plan w/Transformation Agenda.
 Can the Transformation Agenda constitute the Blueprint if it is properly complemented/augmented?

2016 Econ. Dev. Blueprint Schedule

	Q 1	Q 2	Q 3	Q 4	Priority
E. D. Blueprint + 10 Year					
Plan					
Ten Year Prosperity Plan	X	X	Χ	X	4
Synchronizing RPI					
Prosperity Plan & SWMI		X	X		4
1st Transformation Agenda					

Needs/2016 Actions

- Need: Statewide Prosperity Plan support the linkage of regional prosperity plans into a single statewide prosperity plan.
- Action: assist in the funding to collect plans and formulate a statewide plan.

2016 Statewide Plan Support Schedule

	Q 1	Q 2	Q 3	Q 4	Priority
Statewide Prosperity Plan					
Support					
Consolidation of Prosperity		V	X	V	6
Plans		^	٨	٨	U

	Q	Q	Q	Q						
	1	2	3	4	Priority	Bu	dget	14-'15 Funds	2	016 Funds
RPI Facilitation						\$:	100,000.00		\$	100,000.00
Prosperity Committee Meetings	X	X	X	X	1					
Subcommittee Meetings	X	Х	Х	X	1					
Executive Committee/Staff Meetings	X	Х	Х	Х	1					1
Project Selection - Funding						\$:	307,700.00	\$ 113,600.00	\$	194,100.00
Community Development	X	Х			1	\$	76,925.00	\$ 28,400.00	\$	48,525.00
Education	X	Х			1	\$	76,925.00	\$ 28,400.00	\$	48,525.00
Infrastructure	X	X			1	\$	76,925.00	\$ 28,400.00	\$	48,525.00
RPI Strategies	X	Х	Х		1	\$	76,925.00	\$ 28,400.00	\$	48,525.00
Measuring Change - Dashboard	X	Х	Х	Х	2	\$	5,000.00		\$	5,000.00
RPI Tier Two Exploration						\$	75,000.00		\$	75,000.00
Shared Services/Multiple Sectors	X	Х	Х	X	2	\$	75,000.00		\$	75,000.00
Deepend Regional Knowledge						\$	33,000.00		\$	33,000.00
Recycling		Х	Х		2	\$	15,500.00		\$	15,500.00
Broadband	X	Х	X		2	\$	17,500.00		\$	17,500.00
Links w/Region 8 Efforts						\$	7,000.00		\$	7,000.00
Inventory of Regional Collaboratives		X	X	×	3	\$	3,500.00		\$	3,500.00
Regional Collaborative Gap Analysis			X	Х	3	\$	3,500.00		\$	3,500.00
Deepened Regional Knowledge						\$	13,000.00		\$	13,000.00
Local Road Road Asset Management		X	X		4	\$	5,000.00		\$	5,000.00
Regional Recreation Planning		Х	Х	Х	4	\$	8,000.00		\$	8,000.00
E. D. Blueprint + 10 Year Plan		_	_	_		\$	5,000.00	ĺ	\$	5,000.00
Ten Year Prosperity Plan	X	X	X	×	4	\$	4,000.00		\$	4,000.00
Synchronizing RPI Prosperity Plan &										
SWMI 1st Transformation Agenda		Х	X		4	\$	1,000.00		\$	1,000.00
Linkage w/Abutting RPI Regions (4,7, 9)						\$	2,900.00		\$	2,900.00
Staff Meetings		X	Х		5	\$	800.00		\$	800.00
Joint Projects Development			X	X	5	\$	1,500.00		\$	1,500.00
"Super-Regional" Projects			X	Х	5	\$	600.00		\$	600.00
Statewide Prosperity Plan Support						\$	15,000.00		\$	15,000.00
Consolidation of Prosperity Plans		Х	Х	Х	6					
TOTAL						\$!	563,600.00	\$ 113,600.00	\$	450,000.00

Quarterly Progress & Priority 2016 RPI

	Q	Q	Q	Q	
	1	2	3	4	Priority
RPI Facilitation					
Prosperity Committee Meetings	X	Х	Х	Х	1
Subcommittee Meetings	X	Х	Х	Х	1
Executive Committee/Staff Meetings	Х	Х	Х	Х	1
Project Selection - Funding					
Community Development	X	Х			1
Education	X	Х			1
Infrastructure	X	Х			1
RPI Strategies	Х	Х	Х		1
Measuring Change - Dashboard	Х	Χ	Х	Х	2
RPI Tier Two Exploration					
Shared Services/Multiple Sectors	Х	Х	Х	Х	2
Deepend Regional Knowledge					
Recycling		Х	X		2
Broadband	X	Х	Х		2
Links w/Region 8 Efforts					
Inventory of Regional Collaboratives		Х	Х	Χ	3
Regional Collaborative Gap Analysis			Х	Х	3
Deepened Regional Knowledge					
Local Road Road Asset Management		Х	Х		4
Regional Recreation Planning		Х	Х	Х	4
E. D. Blueprint + 10 Year Plan					
Ten Year Prosperity Plan	Х	Х	Х	Х	4
Synchronizing RPI Prosperity Plan &					
SWMI 1st Transformation Agenda		Х	Х		4
Linkage w/Abutting RPI Regions (4,7,9)					$\overline{}$
Staff Meetings		Х	Х		5
Joint Projects Development			Х	Х	5
"Super-Regional" Projects			Х		5
Statewide Prosperity Plan Support					
		Х	Х	Х	6
Statewide Prosperity Plan Support Consolidation of Prosperity Plans		X	x		

Moving to Tier Two

Differences Between One & Two

Proposed Methodology

Implications Examined

Tier One & Two Differences

Structure:

 Tier One = a committee of required partners

Tier Two

- Independent council w/shared administrative services
- Executive governing entity w/decision-making authority

Tier One & Two Differences

Deliverables:

Status report on Five Year Prosperity Plan

Prioritized list of regional projects

Five Year Plan transformed into a Ten Year
 Plan

Tier One & Two Differences

 Identify additional opportunities for shared administrative services

 Identify additional opportunities for shared decision-making

Proposed Tier Two Plan Methodology

- I. Inventory of Organizational Assets
- 2. Categorization of Assets Inventory
- 3. Identification of Opportunities to Share Resources
- 4. Cost/Benefit Analysis
- 5. Pathways (legal, administrative, etc) to Consolidation

Tier Two Implications

 Proposed: Discussion grows out of Cost/Benefit Analysis

Explore where the benefits lie

Explore the magnitude of the benefits

• Are there costs? If so, what are they?

Tier Two Plan Contents Include...

 Formal local agreements to share administrative service & decision-making

Recommendations that eliminate effort & administrative functions

- Recommendations to leverage resources
 - Collaboration, consolidation

Tier Two Implementation

- Share administrative services
 - Private, public, non-profits

Regionalize decision-making authority